Helping public health use best evidence in practice

Supporting Evidence-Informed Public Health Decision Making in Cancer Prevention:

Findings from a 2-pronged recruitment strategy

<u>Olivia Marquez, MSc</u> | Jennifer Yost, RN, PhD | Maureen Dobbins, RN, PhD | Cory Neudorf, MD | Anthony Levinson, MD | Noori Akhtar-Danesh, PhD

Helping public health use best evidence in practice

Acknowledgements

Funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (KAL 139703)



Background

- Expectations to implement EIDM in public health are increasing
- Effective evidence based cancer prevention strategies need to be implemented
- Challenges in achieving EIDM continue to exist
- Knowledge translation (KT) strategies (e.g. webinars, tailored messaging, social media) hold promise to minimize challenges

Study Objectives

 Disseminate synthesized, high quality research evidence on cancer prevention to Canadian public health professionals using 3 KT strategies

 Evaluate the impact of the KT strategies on awareness and use of the research evidence, as well as interaction and satisfaction with the strategies

Methods

- 18-month prospective cohort study

 (Fall '15 to Spring '17)
- Recruit Canadian
 public health
 professionals working in:
 - Tobacco/alcohol use, sun safety, nutrition, physical activity

Health		porting aware		take of in practice
Evidence	Funded	by the Canadian Interiority of the		
to a to sha		the request to build	capacity among Canad	ian public health
				-quality systematic review
Intervention: Partici evidence via: Twitter, w	ipants will ebinars, ar reness and	receive concise actin ul/or tailored email message	s. Participants will be s	a the areas of:
follow-up to assess and	utividual p	use of research evidence. ublic health professionals a Healthy eating	cross Canada working in	
March and Soft at 12			and the second of the second of the	for the
have the option to con	tinue to a 2	 Physical activity <u>/kt healthevidence.or</u> onsent). If you decide to par 20 minute baseline online so 	L on IPa	d Air 2 64GB1
Complet	e the s	urvey for a chance of the winner will be made	in approximately one	month's time.
Our goals: High	quality re	search evidence, disseminat to identify a cost-effective	ed through this project, knowledge translation	will be useful to the phalith it
state in the local day in the second state	w further	questions or concerning pro-		
should you have a or Study Investigat	ors listed b	SCIUM.		
Mantere Dobbin 85, Scentific Discin, Na Collaborang Contro McMaaire University Tr (065) 52-614.0 X dobbingtr (menualic	te Meteda sidence ¹⁶ 20455	Cory Neadorf BSc, MBSc, MD, FRCDC President, Untan Public Houth Network & Chair Modual Health Differs, Stadeaton Haath Region 1: (20) 906-2226 (cm. Neadorf Jonkatortheather relational	Jesendier Von HN, PhD Anseinen Professor McMenter University T. (405) 525-0440 x 21927 2008 2015 Statement A	Ohma Margari, MSe Richardt Constantin School of Statisting, McManter Universitä Ti (1005) 525–51480 ext. 20484 margaconsid outronist.col
McMas University	ster			

KT Strategies: Weekly Tweets



KT Strategies: Monthly Tailored Emails

Having trouble reading this email? View it on our website.

Health Evidence

Dear Olivia Marquez,

On behalf of Health Evidence[™], thank you for your interest in receiving information provided through the research study *Supporting awareness and uptake of cancer prevention knowledge in practice*, led by Dr. Maureen Dobbins and Dr. Jennifer Yost at McMaster University. Tailored email messages will arrive on the third or fourth Monday of each month.

7 quality-rated reviews are included in this month's tailored message. You can expect your next tailored message January 25th, 2016.

Review evidence on the effectiveness of public health interventions		
Toba	cco	
•	Self-help interventions are not effective for increasing smoking cessation in the workplace	Strong
305)	Behavioural change counselling provided by dental professionals increases smoking cessation in adults	Strong
Alcol	nol	
	Alcohol interventions targeting first-year university students result in small	

KT Strategies: Quarterly Webinars



Data Collection

• Electronic survey:

	Baseline Survey	Follow up Survey
Consent	V	
Demographic information	V	
Barriers & facilitators to EIDM	V	
Knowledge of public health evidence	V	\checkmark
Use of review evidence in practice	V	V
Satisfaction with KT strategies		V

• Web analytics collect data on use and engagement with KT strategies



Study information also shared via:

- Health Evidence Twitter & Facebook and monthly newsletters

MOH and Organization Recruitment



Reach

• MOHs and organizations were requested to send potential reach to researchers

Source	Potential Reach	
MOHs across Canada	835	
Public health organizations	2632	
Health Evidence social media	459	
Health Evidence newsletters	817	
Total Known Reach:	4743	
Total Participants Enrolled:	317	

Gatekeepers

- Professional gatekeepers First point of contact (e.g. MOH)
- Organizational gatekeepers E.g. Internal review ethic boards, corporate policies

Contact professional gatekeeper to request support in research

Organizational gatekeeper approves request (with or without REB)

Study information is disseminated

Individual public health professionals participate in research

Additional REBs

- 8 RHAs/units requested REBs, 1 provincial request
 - Application review duration = 2-5 weeks for approval
- 3 REB applications required study revisions / resubmissions
- 1 REB application was not approved

Declining Support

- Reasons MOHs declined support:
 - Study is not related to field of work (n=4), not appropriate for the unit/department (n=2), budget cuts/lack of resources (n=1), REB not approved (n=1)

No reason provided (n=2)

Reasons organizations declined support:
 – Study is not relevant to organization (n=6)

Successes

- Use of a 2-pronged approach (MOH & organizations)
- Offering multiple options for disseminating study information (e.g. social media, newsletters)
- Supplying pre-prepared documents / materials for dissemination

Challenges

- Organizational gatekeepers (e.g. REB applications, competing with corporate policies)
 - Longer than anticipated recruitment time
- Connecting with MOHs/organizations with competing schedules
- Lack of follow through in disseminating study information

Recruitment Recommendations

- Plan an appropriate duration for recruitment
 Consider time for additional ethics applications
- Identify gatekeepers and determine appropriate contacts
- > Use tailored, simple communication
- > Use **KT** strategies to promote study
- Provide tailored pre-prepared promotional materials to supporting organizations

Health Evidence[™]

Helping public health use best evidence in practice

Questions?

Contact Us: info@healthevidence.org