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| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Literature Review- Appraisal and Synthesis

What is an effective mentorship model for leadership development in nursing (online)?

## Search strategy:

Search terms: (public health) AND (leadership or management or administration) AND (mentor* or e-mentor*) AND (online or web or internet)
Limiters: references available; scholarly (peer reviewed) Journals; Published date: 20070101 to 20161231
Database: Academic search premier: CINAHL with Full Text; Health Business Elite; MEDLINE; Nursing \& Allied Health Collection: Comprehensive; Psychology and Behavioural Sciences Collection: PsycINFO; Cochrane Central Register of Controlled trials; Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects; Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; NHS Economic Evaluation Database; Health Technology Assessments; Cochrane Methodology Register; CINAHL Plus with Full Text; Environment Complete; SocINDEX with Full text

| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature ? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Kroelinger CD, Kasehagen L, | -assess <br> attendees | - not a systematic review | -evaluation conducted at a conference (Annual | Not precise--while the results focus more on how the | -offers some suggestions related to |  |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Barradas DT, \& Ali <br> Z. Building <br> Leadership skills <br> and promoting <br> workforce <br> development: <br> Evaluation Data <br> Collected from <br> Public Health <br> Professionals in <br> the Field of M <br> \&CH. Maternal <br> Child Health J. <br> 2012 December; <br> 16 (0 2): 370-375 | professionals <br> roles and <br> organizational <br> affiliations, <br> opinions on <br> workforce <br> development, <br> mentoring and <br> job-related <br> activities, and <br> provide <br> suggestions for <br> improving <br> conference <br> related <br> activities | -single study | Maternal and Child Health Epidemiology Conference). <br> -assessment forms were available at the registration desk 2009= 297 /425 (70\%) submitted evaluations $2010=303 / 459$ ( $66 \%$ ) submitted evaluations -frequencies and \% were calculated for both | conference can be used to promote leadership skills and professional development, it does provide some feedback/ideas with respect to mentorship | mentorship <br> -specifically <br> conferences can <br> provide a forum for <br> mentoring early career <br> and mid-level <br> professionals by <br> directly connecting <br> them with senior level <br> MCH epi's. <br> -offer award of excellence in teaching and mentoring -offer career mentoring sessions for students and young professionals | -Recognition <br> -Training |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. <br> Miller LC, Devaney <br> SW, Kelly GL, <br> Kuehn AF. E- <br> Mentoring in <br> Public Health <br> Nursing Practice. <br> The Journal of <br> Continuing <br> Education in <br> Nursing. <br> September 2008. <br> Vol 39, No 9. | Can the use of an ementoring learning project enhance populationbased skills, leadership ability of local agency nurses, competencies in using | - Not a systematic review <br> - Single study | -Missouri Department of Health, Council for Public Health Nursing identified competencies to practice population based care (competencies lacking according to their survey) -to address this, they developed the Public Health Nursing Workforce Development Plan 1) a course in populationbased practice- didactic | -e-mentoring is defined as 'computer mediated, mutually beneficial relationship between a mentor and a protégé which provides learning, advising, encouraging, promoting, and modeling, that is often boundary-less, egalitarian, and qualitatively different than face-to-face mentoring" (Bierema \& Merriam, 2002, p. 214). <br> -e-mentoring was used to promote cross fertilization among geographically distant and diverse nurses -mentors were given administrative approval for designated time away from work to participate in the workshops. | -provides a specific example of how an organization utilized an e-mentoring program to assist in the development of leadership competencies among public health practitioners. <br> -focuses on the use of e-mentoring with the | -organizational support, e.g., time |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & & \begin{array}{ll}\text { foundation to help mentor } \\ \text { the mentee- mentoring is } \\ \text { not product-outcome } \\ \text { driven; instead, mentoring } \\ \text { targets another's learning } \\ \text { and is concerned with the } \\ \text { acquisition of knowledge, } \\ \text { the application of in } \\ \text { practice, and critical } \\ \text { reflection. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text {-as the mentor and mentee move through the } \\ \text { mentoring process, the mentor's input fades over } \\ \text { an unspecified time frame and is overtaken by the } \\ \text { mentee's self-directed learning. At this point, the } \\ \text { mentor's responsibility shifts from the early role of } \\ \text { facilitator to providing help only when asked. } \\ \text { 2Zachary (2002) notes that mentors benefit from } \\ \text { the mentoring experience by incorporating new } \\ \text { perspectives into their practice; demonstrating } \\ \text { improved leadership, coaching, and listening skills, } \\ \text { and becoming more engaged in their work. }\end{array} \\ \text { Beause mentoring is actually about process }\end{array}\right\}$

| -Mentors received a 3- <br> day face-to-face <br> training- allowing <br> mentors to create a <br> supportive cohort and <br> enhance their <br> leadership skills. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| -Evaluation is weakly |  |
| described- sounds as |  |
| though students |  |
| completed an |  |
| evaluation at the end |  |
| of the course (92\% |  |
| completion rate). |  |
| -mentors completed a | -organizational |
| pre-test and a follow | support |
| up online survey- | -commitment by |
| however online survey | mentor/mentee |
| was conducted 3 to 4 |  |
| years after their |  |
| mentoring experience. |  |
| Evaluation outcomes- | -communication |
| students identified |  |
| delayed responses as a |  |
| concern. Mentors cited |  |
| long-distance |  |
| communication and |  |
| limited time as |  |
| problematic. Mentors | -organizational |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  | were not always clear how to help students. <br> **Great example of an online, e-mentoring program/model, however the methodology, specifically, the evaluation and benefits of the program are weakly addressed. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. O'Connell EO, Stoneham M, Saunders J. (2016). Planning for the next generation of public health advocates: evaluation of an online advocacy mentoring program. Health Promotion Journal Of Australia, 27, 43-47. | -how effective is the e - <br> mentoring program in <br> changing <br> mentees <br> advocacy <br> knowledge, <br> skills, <br> confidence <br> and <br> experience; <br> the strength of <br> the <br> relationships <br> and networks <br> built between | Single study Qualitative study--did not identify how e-mentoring model was chosen. | Description of ementoring program: -12 month e-mentoring program (2011-2012 and 2013-2014), open to anyone working in a role related to health and wellbeing. <br> -consisted of two distinct components: 1) knowledge and skills development through program activities and mentoring from an experienced public health advocate. Participants received a monthly | -all mentees reported an increase in advocacy skills and knowledge <br> -gaining knowledge and skills depended on mentee commitment. <br> -time barriers the most commonly cited reason for mentee and mentor withdrawal from program -mentees and mentors agreed on the potential benefits of mentoring; however, there were differences in the reported strength of each relationship and networks built. Mentees who reported regular engagement with their mentor described benefiting from this contact: <br> -biggest way I increased my knowledge was through that mentor/mentee relationship -mentees working in different fields of public health to their mentor reported that the lack of a shared topic of interest discouraged them from | -were specifically looking at the development of public health advocacy skills <br> -description of the actual model that was used (in detail) was not provided, e.g., what model were they using, how often did they have to contact mentor/mentee, what was the role of mentorwas it just to discuss the activity and general matters of advocacy? | -commitment by mentor/mentee -organizational support, e.g., time <br> -commitment by mentor/mentee <br> -matching of mentor/mentee dyad |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



## Search strategy:

Search terms: (mentoring or mentor* OR coach*) AND (framework OR model) AND leadership AND nurs*
Limiters- References Available; Scholarly (Peer reviewed) Journals; Published Data: 20110101-20161231
Narrow by Language-English
Database- Academic search premier: CINAHL with Full Text; Health Business Elite; MEDLINE; Nursing \& Allied Health Collection: Comprehensive; Psychology and Behavioural Sciences Collection: PsycINFO; Cochrane Central Register of Controlled trials; Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects; Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; NHS Economic Evaluation Database; Health Technology Assessments; Cochrane Methodology Register; CINAHL Plus with Full Text; Environment Complete; SocINDEX with Full text

## Outcome- 56 results, of those that were relevant, duplications removed and only 1 new (relevant)

| 4. Lach HW, Hertz <br> JE, Pomeroy SH, <br> Resnick B, <br> Buckwalter KC <br> (2013> The <br> challenges and benefits of distance | -to explore <br> distance mentoring from the perspective of mentees and to provide guidance for those planning | -single study | Defines 'mentoring' a relationship between two people in which the one with greater experience and/or expertise teaches and counsels the other to develop professionally (Morrison-Beedy, Aronowitz, Dyne \& | -besides traditional expert-tonovice top-down mentoring, other mentoring models have been identified including mentoring by teams of experts, mentoring to groups of novices, peer mentoring, and mentoring forward (Byrne \& Keefe, 2002) | -using the results from the study, They developed a model of distance mentoring -mentoring is a reciprocal relationship where the mentor has expertise and resources and is willing to be available to assist others who may not be in the | Varying completion rates, programs tend to lose mentors/mentees if engagement is lost, if roles are not clearly defined and clear goals and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| mentoring. J of Professional Nursing, Vol 29 (1). | similar <br> arrangements. <br> The following research questions were addressed: <br> 1. In what types of distanced mentoring situations do nurses participate? <br> 2. What are the benefits of distance mentoring? <br> 3. What are the challenges of distance mentoring? |  | Mkrandawire, 2001, p 291). <br> Traditionally, mentoring arrangement are spontaneous, involving a reciprocal long-term partnership that enhances the careers of both mentor and mentee; however organized mentoring programs have become more common. -because of lack of local mentors, there is a growing trend toward mentoring provided by someone from another institution or other city, or state. <br> Methodology: -used an online survey focusing on nurses who had experienced distance mentoring as a mentee -survey included 33 multiplechoice short-answer, and open ended questions focused on details regarding distance mentoring experiences. -items reviewed for face validity by investigators, and pretested by 5 nurses. <br> -purposively recruited those | -tech has led to online mentoring <br> 67 nurses responded to the survey, most women with mean age of 51.1 years. <br> -most had received their mentoring in last 8 years -primary purpose for mentoring were research and leadership development -most resided over 200 miles from mentor -length of mentoring ranged from 3 months to 8 years, avg=2 years <br> 5 themes emerged as reasons for selecting a distance mentor: <br> 1. lack of a local mentor <br> 2. fit of the mentor with goals for mentorship <br> 3. access to supportive resources <br> 4. ability to keep established commitments <br> 4 themes around challenges to distance mentoring <br> 1. challenge of finding the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| same geographic location. | meeting guidelines |
| :--- | :--- |
| -the mentee has needs to develop |  |
| skills in clinical practice, | established |
| leadership; research or teaching |  |
| that cannot be met locally. | -mentor/mentee |
| -key features that emerged were | relationship is |
| communication and connection | -communication and |
| *additional research is needed to | connection |
| test model with perspective of |  |
| mentors |  |
| -most critical element identified is | -match |
| the match between the mentee's |  |
| career goals and mentor's |  |
| experience. |  |
| -mentor's letter of support needs |  |
| to convey their qualifications and |  |
| how their expertise can help the |  |
| mentee reach his or her goals. |  |
| Mentor's commitment to mentees | -commitment by |
| training and availability to |  |
| complete the mentoring should be | mentor/mentee |
| assessed. |  |
| -explicit plans for mentor-mentee | -communication |
| communication and regular | -clear expectations, |
| meetings must be clearly |  |
| articulated and quantified. | e.g., meeting |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  | who had experienced mentoring relationships. -snowball sampling was implemented by searching the web sites of schools belonging to the AACN. <br> -email recruitment letter explained study and how to access online -mentees eligible to participate if they had completed at least 1 year of a distance mentoring program or had completed the entire program if less than 1 year in length. <br> -Distance mentoring was defined as 'a structured mentoring situation with an expert who is outside of the institution where you work" -data collection extended over a 6 month period -data analysis described in detail | most effective and compatible methods to communicate <br> 2. Challenge of finding the most compatible methods to communicate <br> 3. missing opportunities and activities because of not being at the mentors location <br> 4. mentors challenged in finding time to devote to mentorship b/c of competing demands (planning time and options for adequate communication with the mentor) <br> -study was based on a small sample and most respondents were nurses who were working on doctoral, research or postdoc fellowships- therefore caution with generalizing | -have developed a visual Reciprocal model of distance mentoring. | guidelines <br> -communication <br> -org support, e.g., time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Search strategy: |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Search terms: (mentoring or mentor* OR coach*) AND (framework OR model) AND leadership AND nurs* <br> Limiters- References Available; Scholarly (Peer reviewed) Journals; Published Data: 20110101-20161231

## Database- Pub Med

## Outcome- 85 results, after reviewing abstracts, 3 identified as relevant

| 5. Byrne G, <br> Topping A, Golding KS. Developing a national mentorship scheme to enhance the contribution of clinical academics to health care. Nurse Researcher, 2014, vol 22 (2). | How effective is a national mentorship scheme in enhancing the contribution of practitioner researchers to the quality of health care in England | Single study | -Kirkpatrick's (2006) fourlevel evaluation model was used to provide a framework to evaluate the scheme and explore the role of mentorship in supporting NIHR fellows. Leve1- evaluation of reaction (for e.g., response to training, facilitators or learning resources) <br> Level 2- evaluation of learning (changes in knowledge, skill and attitudes) Level 3-Evaluation in behavior Level 4- Evaluation of results (measurable outcomes such as grants, publications) | Evaluation was limited and only included a small group of mentees (20 at baseline and 9 at year end). <br> Baseline evaluation- identified key issues of focus, common goals and aims <br> End of year evaluation- responses from 9 mentees, all but one had at least one face to face meeting. <br> -Mentoring conversations lasted between one and two hours-discussions focused on career direction, prof development, grant applications etc. <br> -7 reported that mentoring had helped them to become better clinical academic leaders, helped them to achieve professional goals. <br> -mentees reported that it would have been helpful to receive preparation for the role, so they knew what to expect and how to | -the model used identifies some key elements that need to be considered with any mentorship model. <br> -the model could be adapted for online <br> Scheme organization- the NIHR mentorship scheme is managed by a coordinator with support from an administrator <br> Mentors- senior health care scientists, academics and professional leaders within a range of experience; they bring unique sector knowledge and understanding of the leadership challenges; mentors apply and are | -preparation for role of mentor recognized as essential to its effectiveness. <br> -mentees also need preparation (what to expect) <br> -admin support, e.g., coordinator <br> -training |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  | -evaluation design was embedded from the outset <br> -20 post-doc fellows joined the scheme and were invited to complete a baseline and year-one evaluation questionnaires and interviews | make best use of their mentoring relationship | appointed to the scheme using predetermined criteria (recognized leadership in the field of health and research; professional portfolio that demonstrates significant track record in competitive grant capture, publication and capacity building in health research; experience of interdisciplinary working and an appreciation of the different ways of working in professions; in a position where they are active in leading and developing research; enthusiastic about developing the potential of others) -participate in a one day interactive preparation program designed to develop their skills in building an effective mentoring relationship. Build a community of mentoring practice. <br> Mentee: individual mentorship is available for all | -matching <br> -training |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  | NIHR funded post-doc senior clinical lecturers and clinical lecturers <br> Recruitment and matching- all eligible NIHR fellows are contacted and invited to enroll for mentoring in the scheme. Once enrolled, they nominate three candidate mentors from the scheme faculty(encouraged to select mentors who possess skills and knowledge that might otherwise be unavailable to them) <br> -mentees are matched with one of their nominated mentors- an initial conversation is brokered to ensure compatible (ensure realistic expectations and mutual respect) - after they confirm that they are ok to proceed. <br> Working together-mentors and mentees negotiate their own ways of working, | -matching <br> -clear meeting guidelines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  | communicating (e.g., face to face, skype etc.). Mentees identify goals and aspirations. | -communication <br> -goals and aspirations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. Nick JM, <br> Delahoyde TM, Del Prato D, Mitchell <br> C, Ortiz J, Ottley C, <br> Young P, Cannon <br> SB, Lasater K, <br> Reising D, Siktberg <br> L. Best Practices in <br> Academic <br> Mentoring: A <br> Model for <br> Excellence. <br> Nursing Research and Practice, 2012. | To provide an overview of a model for excellence in establishing a formal mentoring program for academic nurse educators. | -authors did not identify how they assessed quality of articles included. -limited information is provided around the inductive process used to identify themes and how practices were categorized down to 6 themes. | -authors participated in a formal distance mentoring program and determined to engage in a heuristic inquiry to study mentoring. -inductive process to identify mentoring themes. <br> -at a face-to-face meeting, each participant shared lived experiences of being mentored or mentoring. -through reflection and dialogue the group clustered 25 original practices into 6 categories served as the basis for six in-depth reviews of the literature; refinement of the categories occurred over several months during exploration of the research literature. <br> -search terms are clearly | -results from their literature reviews provide a good overview of important elements to include in an effective mentoring program. <br> 1) Achieve appropriately matched dyads by; using pairing scenarios; seeking dyad input during the matching process <br> 2) Establish clear mentorship purpose \& goals by: expressing reciprocity, specifying time commitment; planning activities spread over time <br> 3) Solidify the dyad relationship by: creating collegiality; establishing regular communication; exchanging frequent feedback from mentor and mentee; building a supportive environment <br> 4) Advocate for and guide the mentee by: providing psychosocial support; achieving life balance; advising career progression <br> 5) Integrate the mentee into the academic culture by: teaching networking skills; facilitating | -results from literature review, e.g., 6 themes can be used/considered when building a mentorship program <br> -literature review did not specifically look at online mentorship excellence, therefore some of the themes identified may be more challenging to meet in an online environment (e.g., integrating the mentee into the culture). <br> -focus of this was Academic mentoring; however principles/themes could apply to all mentorship dyads. <br> -recognizes the benefits of the schema in creating programs of mentoring and functions and as a basis for evaluation | -consistent with other articles which emphasize the importance of appropriately matching mentor and mentee, <br> Clearly identifying purpose and goals, and establishing regular communication. |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  | identified as well as databases searched. Limited to English. | socialization <br> 6) Mobilize institutional resources by: gaining administrative support; including mentoring expectations in promotion and workload documents; offering mentor training programs; providing release time. | of program effectiveness. | -admin support <br> -training <br> -org support, time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. Weiss LW, Williams CA, Drake AC, Cumberlander LB, Gordon CL. <br> Veterans Health Administration Mentoring Model for New Nurse Executives. Nurse Admin Q, 2008, vol 32 (3). | Research question unclearsurveys were completed, evaluations completed on the model however not clear what was being evaluated | -single study | -poorly described -evaluations were completed after each of the face-to-face meetings -does not clarify what was being evaluated <br> -after mentor and mentee completed a year of partnership, a survey was done to look at best practices and opportunities for improvement. | $-76.5 \%$ of the attendees strongly agreed that they were satisfied with the program <br> -opportunities for improvement included ensuring that the mentor and mentee were no more than one time zone apart, and the need to reinforce the role of mentor <br> -new nurse executives hired in the VHA (Veterans Health Administration) are assigned an experienced mentor. <br> -VHA continues to promote the certification of experienced nurse executives so that there is a continuous pool of resources to draw from. The program has demonstrated its success over the past 3 years by providing a standardized approach to support newly appointed nurse executives in their role | -Refer to a mentorship program however program is now clearly described (elements of program are not described in detail) <br> -program is intended to support integration of new appointees into the nurse executive role. Program has produced a written resource to support effective functioning in the role. Program includes a leader skills self-assessment to evaluate leadership style and assist with senior leadership team integration. <br> -not an online program (however not clear as to how communication occurred in | a commitment to regular and recurring communication was necessary to success of mentorship model <br> -need to reinforce role of mentor (training, clear roles and responsibilities) <br> -tools <br> -certification program for mentors to ensure ongoing pool of mentors to draw from- recognition |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  | and supporting succession planning. | most relationships and what the overall distance was between mentor and mentee dyads) <br> -aligned goals of the mentoring process with the 8 core competencies of the High Performance Development Model (HPDM). HPDM provides a framework to develop a highly skilled, customer-centered workforce for the $21^{\text {st }}$ century. -survey questions were created to reflect core competencies -mentees were asked to identify 3 competencies in which he or she desired further development and the mentor asked to identify 3 competencies in which he or she exhibited strength. <br> -matching of mentees and mentors was accomplished on the basis of the self-identified strengths and identified areas for development. | -matching |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  | -goals mutually agreed upon, electronic personal development plan was initiated by the mentee in collaboration with mentor -template provided an active document for planning and tracking learning successes and was readily available to our mentoring program. <br> -once pairings established, face-to-face learning session was implemented for mentors and mentees - included a 360 assessment and a MyersBriggs Type Indicator (MBTI) evaluation to assist in identifying managerial styles and strengths and weaknesses of the individual participants. Face-to face allowed both to meet and establish a working relationship. <br> -each completed MBTI tests with goal of becoming aware of the factors that influenced his or her style and how he or she routinely responds. shared results | -clear goals <br> -face to face element <br> -tools to support appropriate matching |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  | -defined expectations of mentoring relationship (how often to meet, how they would communicate and in what manner, and whether or not visits to each other's facility would be beneficial) -a commitment to regular and recurring communication was necessary -created opportunity for nurse leaders to become certified mentors (2 day training session to receive didactic education needed to begin mentor certification process | -clear meeting guidelines <br> -communication <br> -training <br> -recognition, e.g., certification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Search- grey literature, google scholar, references |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Bhimani H, Wong C, Roitenerg J, Irvine L. Debut of a Framework for Coaching and Mentoring Nurses for Leadership. | -goal- to build leadership capacity among nurses through a Nursing Directors' mentoring program <br> -to design a leadership | -evidence is drawn from a synthesis of the literature, and views of PHNs, managers, and directors about formal and informal coaching relationships that currently exist in our organization. | -literature review and synthesis <br> -aim- to develop a leadership development framework based on principles of coaching and mentoring <br> 1) literature review to identify best practices in coaching and mentoring | -describe Thompson et al (2010) model in which preceptoring and coaching is defined for nurses as they journey through their career development from novice to experienced nurses and then to nursing executives. <br> literature review <br> -2005-present, Ovid Medline, Ovid Health Star, CINAHL, PubMed, and Wiley Online Library databases searched using "Coach" or "Mentor" and "Framework" or "Strategy" or "Initiative" and "Nursing" or "Public Health" or "Health | Study highlights critical success factors for an effective coachingmentoring relationshipall of which should be considered when developing/adopting an online model | Critical to effective coaching:- - <br> -establishment of a trusting coachcoachee relationship matching(strategies identified that could be transferred to an online model include- being objective, |


| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Reference | Research question | Appropriateness of articles/studies included (for systematic reviews) -all important relevant studies were included? -did authors assess quality of included studies? | Methodology -did they use appropriate methodology -did it address the research question? | Overall results/findings -how precise are the results? | Can the results be applied to our research question? | Do the results fit with other available evidence/literature? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  | -encouraging self-reflection on essential leadership capabilities and attributes -providing opportunities for practical application of leadership skills discussed in the coaching conversations <br> Elements identified by the Coach/Mentor: -coaches believe in human development and are interested in developing the unique leadership potential of coaches -coaches are able to use a variety of techniques to suit the coaches style and meet their leadership goals <br> -use reflective practice exercises to support coaches in gaining self-awareness -utilize specific case scenarios and case studies to help coaches better understand specific leadership topics <br> -provide individual and group coaching sessions to support learning through multiple experiences -continue mentoring when coaches put their knowledge into practice through application <br> -need to clearly identify the capabilities needed to successfully lead (e.g., LEADS Framework- LEADS identifies the higher level skills which go beyond bare minimum competencies) |  | discussions and explore leadership topics <br> -tools/strategies <br> -training <br> -framework and tools to support discussions, goals etc.... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. Queensland Government. A | -establishing a mentoring | -report | -not described, report outlining framework | -Developed the Mentor Framework for Continence Clinicians primarily focuses on a one-to one | Framework clearly identifies elements |  |
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| Mentoring | program for <br> Framework for <br> Queensland <br> Continence <br> Clinicians <br> Clinicians and <br> those with a <br> special interest in <br> continence. 2011. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Queensland. |  |
|  |  |

## unclear as to process used to develop framework, literature reviewed etc. (therefore interpret findings with

 caution)relationship for mentoring
-Three step process of reflecting, reframing and resolving to empower the participants, and a process of introduction, goal setting, troubleshooting and evaluation. -mentoring conducted through formal and informal processes
-structure of mentoring not as important as the quality of the mentoring and both formal and informal should be promoted to foster a culture that results in high quality mentoring

Formal- occurs when the mentoring relationship is facilitated and supported by the organization so that a large pool of participants can benefit. -generic resources, tools and guidelines are used to support the creation and maintenance of mentoring relationship

Informal- a supportive relationship that develops spontaneously or informally without assistance from the organization
*Distance mentoring- may be suitable where parties agree to conduct their mentoring relationship by phone, fax, email, video conference, telehealth etc., with communication/meetings wheneve circumstances allow. -works best for people in
needed for successful mentorship:
-characteristics and attitudes
-clear roles and responsibilities -address boundaries, barriers and concerns -clear guidelines for implementation -clear processes in place to ensure quality
*did not indicate if model was/is for face-to-face, distance mentorship, however elements of framework identified can be applied to online model
-clear roles and responsibilities
-clear guidelines e.g., meeting, communication -org support
-tools/strategies to support relationship
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|  |  |  |  | -evaluation of mentoring relationship is recommended to assist mentors and mentees to make decisions about- how well relationship is going; what has been achieved; what changes need to be made; when is the time to end the mentoring relationship; future education and training requirements (use of Mentoring Agreement Review form can be useful for thisOriginal Goals/What has been achieved/What more needs to be done/any new goals/actions to be take: by whom/when/next review date) |  | -evaluation of relationship <br> -tool to evaluate relationship |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10. PHRED. <br> Ontario Nursing <br> Strategy: <br> Preceptorship/Me <br> ntorship Initiative. <br> Evaluating a Public <br> Health Nursing <br> Mentorship <br> Initiative. 2007. | -objectives of evaluation were:- <br> evaluate use of NMRG to guide implementatio n of nursing mentorship initiative; describe process of implementatio n ; influence sustainability of nursing mentorship | -report to describe the implementation of mentorship in 4 Ontario health units and the findings from an evaluation examining barriers and enhancers to implementation and the sustainability of mentorship. | -literature scan provided evidence for the recommendations in the Nursing Mentorship Resource Guide (NMRG) (literature scan 20002007) <br> -NMRG was evaluated by participants in pilot sites. <br> -multi-method data collection process that included a combination of mail-out surveys, electronic surveys and telephone interviews | Literature review: <br> Mentor/mentee matching should be based on mutual respect, trust and an understanding of the others responsibilities in the relationship. -relationships are more successful when the styles of the dyad are compatible in outlook, goals and style (assigning can be difficult because mentoring is informal by nature) <br> Distance mentoring- challenge. Long-distance mentoring is less demanding but it was less effective for the mentee and less fulfilling for mentor (Luckhaupt et al. 2005). <br> -distance mentoring had advantage of providing a flexible arrangement that enables geographically dispersed individuals to access mentors. <br> - mentoring should be enhanced by initial face-toface meeting, careful matching of pairs and |  | -matching <br> -initial face to face meeting |
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