
IP&C in a Home Care Setting: A CSI Approach to Standard Based Practice.

Nan Cleator, National Practice Consultant
Irene Holubiec, National Director, Clinical Services





Objectives

- Identify the IP&C challenges (**crimes**) in home care
- Identify how existing standards & guidelines were adapted (**to solve the crimes**)
- To share the lessons learned during the development of a home care surveillance strategy (**CSI approach**)



VON Canada

- **51 Branches across Canada**
- **6,000 staff**
- **12,000 volunteers**
- **Services:**
 - Visiting Nursing (clinics, shift)
 - Home Support
 - Community Support



Home Care: The IP&C Challenges (Crimes)

- Changing infection risks in home care
- Funders & accreditors require infection monitoring
- IP&C standards & guidelines—more suited to institutional care

•CHN Practice Standard # 5 - Demonstrating Professional Responsibility & Accountability



Investigative Unit: Team VON

- National Director of Quality and Risk
- National Director of Clinical Services
- National Practice Consultant
- National IP&C Committee



Accreditation Canada (AC) IP&C Standard 1

- **ROP** the organization tracks infection rates, does analysis & shares information throughout the organization.
- **Test(s) for Compliance**
- 1.2.1 Infection rates are monitored.
- 1.2.2 Staff know the relevant infection rates.



Accreditation IP&C Standard 1

Problematic Indicators

1.3 Indicator: Surgical Site Infection.

- The organization tracks surgical site infections.

1.4 Indicator: Health Service Associated (Nosocomial) Infection.

- The organization tracks one health care associated infections: MRSA and/or C.difficile.

1.5 The organization uses standard definitions and accepted statistical techniques

- So information can be shared and compared.

The Search for Evidence

To ensure our approach was evidence-based:

- Published evidence
- Consulted with leading IP&C experts in Canada (PHAC, CHICA, RICN)
- Canadian evidence lacking...so looked to the US

•CHN Standard #3 Building Relationships



Grissom always says... follow the evidence

WHY and HOW...

- Targeted approach
- Obtain a baseline infection rate – then identify ongoing trends
- Relevant to home care:
 - Invasive medical devices & invasive care
 - Related to processes of care
 - Monitor infections that we have control over





Home Care Standardized Definitions

2008 APIC -HICPAC home care definitions

- **Based on clinical presentation**
- **Infection sites (BSI, wounds) not bugs (VRE, MRSA, C. Diff)**
- **Home-care associated infections (> 48 hours)**
- **Infection/not colonized**
- **Actionable data** – collect data about something we can influence



Narrowing the Investigation

- **Non-surgical wound infections**
 - Almost 40% clients visited require wound care
 - Wound care is invasive
 - Able to make practice changes to wound care



Investigation into HOW?

- **Phased in Approach:**
 - Local Approach – pilot 1st with small group
 - National Approach – incorporate lessons learned from pilot
- **To Learn:**
 - HOW do we engage autonomous, mobile workers to report infections?
 - HOW do we get the data from the front-line staff into centralized location?
 - HOW do we get meaningful, actionable data?



Local Investigation

- **Learn how to:**
 - Collect data to assess quality of wound care
 - Implement reporting tool
 - Implement reporting process with mobile workforce
 - **Partnered with North Simcoe Muskoka RICN:**
 - Small Projects for IP&C and Quality Assurance Grant
 - Access to IP&C expertise, data analysis
- CHN Standard #3 Building Relationships**

Documenting the Evidence

Non-Surgical Wound Infection Reporting Tool

- Based on APIC-HICPAC home care definitions
- Narrowed definition to focus on non-surgical wound infections
- Simplify tool to engage nurses to report



Processing The Evidence

Standardized Process

- **Use current processes**
 - Paper based tool
 - Fax to central location
- **Efficiency -least # of steps**
- **Data entry by clerical staff**





Training the Investigators

- Who: all RNs & RPNs providing wound care
- Tutorial & in-class education
- Evaluation



Barriers to Evidence Collection (Reporting)

Infections not reported because:

- **Time constraints**
- **Lack of confidence**
- **Fear of blame**
- **Lack of feedback**
- **Perceived lack of value in the reporting process**



Triumphs & Lessons Learned

- **Evidence Based Practice** - do the research and let the evidence speak...leading practices
- **Capture Quantitative Data:** # infections, wound types & locations, underlying risk factors
- **Tool:** simplify to engage staff; consult with experts to create tools
- **Reporting Process:** use familiar processes... faxing, reporting to central location...few steps & people



Triumphs & Lessons Learned cont'd

- **Tutorial vs In-Class Education:**
 - Lost of technology glitches
 - Offers learning choices & scheduling flexibility
 - Reduced direct costs
- **Communication:**
 - Key to engaging autonomous & mobile workforce



National CSI Approach (Surveillance)

- **Incorporate Lessons Learned**
 - Target non-surgical wound infections
 - Use national Event Reporting System to collect data
 - Communication
 - Education – tutorial & in-class



Next Steps – Answer What & Who

- WHAT do we analyze?
- WHAT do with the data we are collecting?
- WHAT info does everyone need?
- WHO do we share the information with?
- WHAT will we do to improve practices?

Infection Surveillance...ongoing investigation





For Questions Contact:

- **Nan Cleator:**

Nan.cleator@von.ca

- **Irene Holubiec:**

Irene.Holubiec@von.ca

Visit us at: www.von.ca